



THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND ORGANIZATIONAL COMMITMENT: A CASE STUDY THE MINES OF NORTH KHORASAN

Mojtaba Isargar^a, Maryam Zerati^b

^a Labor inspector, Department of Cooperatives, Labour and Social Welfare, North Khorasan, Iran
m.isargar22@gmail.com

^b Masters student, Faculty of Mathematical Sciences, Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Mashhad, Iran
m.zerati22@gmail.com

Received: 24 April 2021

Revised: 26 May 2021

Accepted: 27 June 2021

Abstract

This study seeks to examine the relationship and impact of occupational health and safety on employees' organizational commitment in mines of North Khorasan. The study explores occupational health and safety and the different dimensions of organizational commitment. A cross sectional survey design was used for this study. The respondents were selected based on simple random sampling. Out of 320 questionnaires administered, 285 were returned (98.2% male and 1.8% female) and used for the study. Correlation and multiple regression analysis were used to determine the relationship and impact between the variables. The findings of this study revealed positive and significant relationship between occupational health and safety management, and affective, normative, and continuance commitment. Additionally, the results revealed the significant impact of occupational health and safety on affective, normative, and continuance commitment. Management within mining sector of North Khorasan must recognize the fact that workers who feel healthy and safe in the performance of their duties, develop emotional attachment and have a sense of obligation to their organization and are most likely committed to the organization. Employees do not just become committed to the organization; rather, they expect management to first think about their health and safety needs by instituting good and sound policy measures. Thus, management should invest in the protection of employees' health and safety in organizations.

Keywords: occupational health & safety, affective commitment, continuance commitment.

How to cite the article:

M. Isargar, M. Zerati, *THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND ORGANIZATIONAL COMMITMENT: A CASE STUDY THE MINES OF NORTH KHORASAN*, *J. Practical Buss. Law*, 2021; 2(3): 01-06

Introduction

The mining sector is one of the world's most hazardous sectors (International Labour Organization, 2015). People working in the mines are exposed to various physical, chemical, mechanical, biological, and psychosocial risk factors. Mining activities, however, present not only economic opportunities for the country but also major challenges, particularly in the area of occupational safety and health (OSH) for employees in this sector (Amponsah & Author, 2013). The importance of healthy workplaces is increasingly being recognized as a broad concept influencing quality of life at the individual level to substantial impacts on public health at the societal level (Helliwell JF & Putnam RD ,2004).

OSH is a multidisciplinary concept that concentrates on the promotion of safety, health, and welfare of people engaged in work or employment (Bhagawati B ,2015). According to (Amponsah-Tawiah & DarteyBaah ,2011), occupational health and safety encapsulates the mental, emotional, and physical well-being of the worker in relation to the conduct of his work and, as a result, marks an essential subject of interest impacting positively on the achievement of organizational goals. Available data reveal startling and tremendously high rates of work-related deaths and injuries in both developed and developing nations (National Safety Council ,2004). According to the ILO, 2.3 million people die each year owing to work-related accidents or illness, and 350,000 of these deaths are attributable to

occupational accidents. Additionally, the ILO estimates that there are 264 million nonfatal accidents each year that result in work-related illnesses, leading to approximately 3 days of absence from work (International Labour Organization, 2014). According to (Seo et al, 2004), industries especially those in developing countries are suffering enormous economic and personnel costs as a result of injuries and diseases related to work. In a recent publication, the ILO revealed that the everyday occurrence of 860,000 occupational accidents with either a direct or indirect cost for occupational illnesses and accidents is estimated at \$2.8 trillion worldwide (International Labour Organization, 2014).

However, despite these startling global figures on occupational health and safety, yet empirical research studies on occupational health and safety have not been conducted in mines of North Khorasan. Arguably, few studies have examined the relationship between occupational health and safety management and employee commitment, particularly in the mining industry. In the light of the provocative issues on the extraction of ore from the earth in recent times, this study sets out to investigate occupational health and safety management from the employees' perceptions and its implication on employees' attitude, specifically their commitment to the organization.

The subject of occupational health and safety management in the mining sector is on the rise because of the increasing demand for minerals and the high-risk factors associated with it. The case for employees and organizations is that, according to (Demba et al, 2013), in developing nations, the rates of industrial and occupational injury-related deaths and disabilities are on the rise. As a result, the mining sectors of these mineral-endowed developing countries are highly prone to these occupational injury-related deaths and disabilities. This affects employees' attitudes and intentions toward their organization. Occupational health programs are thus primarily concerned with the prevention of ill health arising from workplace conditions, whereas safety programs deal with the prevention of accidents and with minimizing the resulting loss and damage to lives and properties (Adeniyi JA, 2001). (Boyle, 2000), posits that the process of managing occupational health and safety is the same as other management activities, but the distinction lies in the complex nature of occupational health and safety. However, according to (Cooper, 1995), the management of OSH is in many respect exactly the same as managing productivity or other functional areas of operations. This shows that the management of safety at the workplace is not just about prevention of repetitions of accidents that have already occurred but rather must be integrated

into the general management system that relates to quality management and protection of the working person and the environment.

Additionally, supervisors are normally seen as one of the key element in health and safety management at the workplace in ensuring safety compliance and safety participation. In their study, (Yule et al, 2007) noted that employees conformed to safety rules and procedures when they perceived that the action of their supervisor is fair and congruent with organization policy on safety. Supervisors' safety practices describe the extent to which a supervisor keeps track of unsafe practices as well as acknowledges the workers who adopt safe work behaviors. Another interesting element in health and safety management that could be used to increase safety performance at the workplace is coworker safety practices. Coworker safety concerns the extent to which workers perceive their colleagues as valuing safety. According to (Ford and Tetrick, 2008) employee behaviors at work and personality variables contribute, directly or indirectly, to accidents. Safety behavior encompasses all activities undertaken by individuals in their workplace to ensure their personal safety, the safety of their coworkers, and the safety of their organization at large. (Ford and Tetrick, 2008) asserted that workers' safety-oriented behavior can be scaled by the extent to which they engage in actions that promote safety and avoid those that decrease safety.

Organizational commitment has traditionally been measured as a unidimensional construct (Mowday & Porter, 1982. Meyer & Allen, 1991). However, (Meyer and Allen, 1991) identified three forms of organizational commitment. According to (Meyer and Allen, 1991) the three-component model captures the affective commitment, continuance commitment, and normative commitment. They explain affective commitment as an employee's emotional attachment to, identification with, and involvement with the organization as well as enjoyment of being a member of the organization. Continuance commitment is described as an individual's need to continue working for the organization based on the perceived costs associated with leaving, and normative commitment is the feeling of obligation to remain with an organization (Meyer & Allen, 1991. Meyer et al, 2002). To them, these components of commitment can be experienced simultaneously to varying degrees.

(Cole, 2002) posits that employees who are healthy and feel safe at work are those who can fully invest their capabilities and exploit the best of their potentials to work. Similarly, (Sinclair et al, 2005) are of the view that when organizations fail to address poor working conditions such as

health and safety issues, workers are more likely to judge the costs of staying with the firm as exceeding the costs of leaving. (Grawitch et al ,2007) explored the relationship between employee satisfaction with different workplace practices (i.e., employee involvement, growth and development, worklife balance, recognition, health and safety) and employee outcomes (i.e., organizational commitment, emotional exhaustion, mental wellbeing, and turnover intentions). Overall, regression results indicated that satisfaction with healthy workplace practices was predictive of employee outcomes. In China, (Siu ,2002) found a positive relationship between affective commitment and physical wellbeing of employees. To have committed employees, management must show concern for the safety, health, and welfare of people engaged at work.

2. Material and Methods

2.1. Research Design and Sample

The study adopted the cross-sectional survey design. Thus, the researchers collected data from participants from selected large-scale mining companies operating in North Khorasan at a time.

The study was conducted in Mines bauxite and lime companies that were conveniently sampled in North Khorasan. In compliance with research ethics, permission was first sought from these organizations to solicit data from their employees, specifically, technical staff (employees with technical skills or expertise in mining). With a total population of 2,000, (Krejcie & Morgan's ,1970) formula table for selecting sample size from a population was used to obtain a sample size of 285. Furthermore, in order to obtain the exact number of sample as proposed by (Krejcie & Morgan's ,1970) formula, 320 questionnaires were distributed among the Four mining companies, and 285 duly completed forms were retrieved. Respondents for this study were conveniently sampled because of their busy work schedule. Thus, the questionnaires were administered to technical employees who were available and willing to participate in the study at the time of visit. The convenient sampling technique was adopted because of the busy and rotational schedule of the technical staff. Data was gathered within a period of 2 month.

Table 1: Distribution of respondents' demographic characteristics

Variable	Characteristics	Frequency	Percent
Sex	Male	280	98.2
	Female	5	1.8
Age (y)	Below 20	0	0
	20-30	20	7
	31-40	80	28.7
	41-50	180	63.1
	51-60	5	8.9
	Over60	0	0
Marital status	Single	25	8.7
	Divorced	5	1.7
	Married	255	78.9
Tenure (y)	Less than 1	40	14.1
	5-10	25	8.7
	11-15	20	7
	16-20	110	38.4
	Over20	80	27.6
		10	3.5
Education	Basic certification	225	78.9
	Diploma	40	14
	First degree	5	1.7
	Professional	10	3.5
	Postgraduate degree	5	1.7

2.2. Instrumentation and reliability analysis

Employees' perception of OSH management was measured using the 50-item Workplace Safety

Scale (WSS), which was developed by (Hayes et al ,1998). The WSS generally assesses employees' perceptions of OSH management at the workplace.

The WSS specifically measures five distinct constructs, each with 10 items: (1) work safety (sample items include "Safety programmes are effective"); (2) coworkers safety (sample items include "Pay attention to safety rules"); (3) supervisor safety (sample items include "Enforces safety rules"); (4) management's commitment to safety (sample items include "Responds to safety concern"); and (5) satisfaction with safety program (sample items include "Effective in reducing injuries"). The distinct constructs of the WSS have Cronbach α reliabilities of 0.96, 0.80, 0.97, 0.94, and 0.86, respectively. The overall Cronbach α reliability of the WSS is 0.86. Each statement (e.g., "my job could hurt easily") is answered on a five-point Likert scale ranging from 1 ("Strongly Disagree") to 5 ("Strongly Agree").

Employees' commitment was measured using the Organizational Commitment Questionnaire (OCQ), developed by (Meyer & Allen ,1997). The OCQ measures the three dimensions of organizational commitment: Affective commitment, normative commitment, and continuance commitment. The OCQ has a total of 18 items, and it is answered on a seven-point Likert scale ranging from 1 ("Strongly disagree") to 7 ("Strongly agree"). Sample items on the OCQ include "I would be very happy to spend the rest of my career with this organization" (affective commitment), "It would be very hard for me to leave my organization right now, even if I wanted to" (continuance commitment), and "This organization deserves my loyalty" (normative commitment). (Meyer & Allen ,1997) reported Cronbach α reliabilities of 0.74 for the affective commitment dimension, 0.74 for the normative commitment dimension, and 0.84 for the continuance commitment dimension

2.3 Method

A pilot study was first conducted to check the reliability of the scales. The sample for the pilot study consisted of 40 employees from the Department of Cooperatives, Labour and Social Welfare North Khorasan. The Cronbach α for the reliability of the various dimensions of the WSS were 0.77 for job safety, 0.79 for coworker safety, 0.90 for supervisors' safety, 0.85 for management safety practices, and 0.83 for satisfaction with safety programs. The overall coefficient α of the WSS was 0.90. A Cronbach α of 0.81 was observed for the OCQ; the affective, normative, and continuance dimensions of the OCQ had Cronbach α of 0.75, 0.73, and 0.82, respectively. To avoid bias, all items on the questionnaire were neutrally structured as well as pretested prior to actual data collection.

3. Results

The relationship between occupational health and safety management and affective, normative, and continuance commitment was analyzed using the Pearson product moment correlation coefficient. A preliminary investigation was conducted to ensure that no violation of basic assumptions such as normality, linearity, multicollinearity, and homoscedasticity occurred. In Table 2, the results indicate a moderate positive relationship between occupational health and safety management and affective commitment ($r = 0.268$, $p < 0.01$), normative commitment ($r = 0.365$, $p < 0.1$), and continuance commitment ($r = 0.303$, $p < 0.01$).

In assessing the unique contribution of occupational health and safety management on affective, normative, and continuance commitment, the standard multiple regression was used. Results for these analyses, as presented in Table 3, indicate that all of the three study models were statistically significant (FA = 25.126, FN = 59.232, FC = 37.721; $p < 0.001$). The R^2 value indicated that occupational health and safety management accounted for 7.1% ($R^2 = 0.071$) of the variation in affective commitment, 13.3% ($R^2 = 0.133$) in normative commitment, and 9.1% ($R^2 = 0.091$) in continuance commitment.

4. Conclusions

This study explored the relationship between occupational health and safety management and organizational commitment. The correlation analysis of the study confirmed that there is a moderate positive relationship between occupational health and safety management and affective commitment. Likewise, the regression analysis showed that occupational health and safety management had a significant impact on affective commitment. Thus, as employees have positive impression/perception of the management of health and safety on the job, their emotional attachment and identification with the organization becomes stronger. This finding is consistent with an earlier study conducted by (Siu ,2002) who also found a positive relationship between the physical well-being of employees and affective commitment. According to (Zeidan ,2006) employees with high affective commitment demonstrate emotional attachment/identification with, and involvement in the organization, and such employees are less likely to engage in withdrawal behaviors such as absenteeism, low performance, and turnover (Dixit ,2012. Saptoto , 2015).

Table 2: Correlation results

Variable	AC	NOC	COC
Affective commitment	1		
Normative commitment	0.321*	1	
Continuance commitment	0.246*	0.524*	1
Occupational health and safety management	0.268*	0.365*	0.303*

*p<0.01

AC, Affective commitment ; COC, Continuance commitment; NOC, Normative commitment

The findings also show that there is a moderate positive relationship and significant impact of the perception of occupational health and safety management on the normative commitment of employees. This also affirms the assertion of (Cooper ,1995) that, in order for management to develop loyalty among employees, especially those working in highly hazardous organizations, the health and safety of these employees must be a top management priority. Acknowledgment of such an investment on the part of employees could create

an imbalanced relationship and cause employees to feel obliged to reciprocate by devoting themselves to the organization until the cost has been paid (Bansal ,2004. Gruen ,2000). Normative commitment has been found to be a significant predictor of employees' intention to quit (Schalk ,2007). Hence, the more employees feel safe on the job, the more they will feel obliged and loyal to their organization and reduce turnover.

Table 3: Standard multiple regression for occupational health and safety management on affective, continuance, and normative commitment

Variable	B	R ²	F	T
OSH and affective commitment	0.268	0.071	25.126*	5.112*
OSH and normative commitment	0.365	0.133	59.232*	7.821*
OSH and continuance commitment	0.303	0.091	37.721*	6.128*

*p < 0.001.

OSH, occupational safety and health.

The results of this study further indicated that there is also a moderate positive and significant association between occupational health and safety management and continuance commitment. Thus, employees' perception of the management of health and safety in the organization influences their decision to stay in the organization and to contribute favorably to the organization. Where employees perceive the management of health and safety in the organization to be appropriate and adequate, they are more likely to have a continuing relationship with the organization knowing that their health and safety are secured in the organization. By contrast, where they perceive that their health and safety is under threat through their evaluation of the management of health and safety in the organization, they tend to exhibit withdrawal behaviors such as absenteeism and

high turnover. This finding is consistent with that of (Sinclair et al ,2005) who found that, when organizations failed to address poor working conditions such as excessive noise, abusive supervision, poor visibility and dusty conditions, there was a decrease in employees' continuance commitment to the organization. Thus, employees judge the cost of staying with their organization to exceed the cost of leaving. In other words, to get devoted employees to work within highly hazardous organizations such as the mines, there is a need to provide effective safety and health systems that safeguard employees' health and safety.

Theoretically, findings from the study give credence to the various theories on reciprocity, particularly the social exchange theory, which proposes that social behavior is the result of an

exchange process the purpose of which is to maximize benefits and minimize costs. According to the theory, people weigh the potential benefits and risks of social relationships. When the risks outweigh the rewards, people will terminate or abandon that relationship. The primary assumption of the theory is that individuals interact for profit or the expectation of it. Out of a very basic desire to secure their health and safety at work, employees will evaluate their relationship with their organizations and make an informed decision as to whether to have an emotional, continuous, or normative relationship with their organizations. So, when employees perceive that their organization does not care for their health and safety, they will reciprocate by exhibiting work behaviors such as absenteeism, intent to leave the organization, and poor commitment.

References

- [1] International Labour Organization. Mining: a hazardous work [Internet]. 2015 [cited 2015 Feb 2]. Available from: http://www.ilo.org/safework/areasofwork/hazardous-work/WCMS_124598/lang-en/index.htm.
- [2] Amponsah-Tawiah K, Jain A, Leka S, Hollis D, Cox T.(2013): Examining psychosocial and physical hazards in the Ghanaian mining industry and their implications for employees' safety experience. *J Safety Res* 45:75-84.
- [3] Helliwell JF, Putnam RD.(2004): The social context of wellbeing. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci* 35:1435-46.
- [4] Bhagawati B.(2015): Basics of occupational safety and health. *IOSR J Environ Sci Toxicol Food Technol* 9:91-4.
- [5] Amponsah-Tawiah K, Dartey-Baah K.(2011): Occupational health and safety: key issues and concerns in Ghana. *Int J Bus Soc Sci* 14:120-6.
- [6] National Safety Council.(2004): Injury facts. Itasca (IL): NSC.
- [7] International Labour Organization. Safety and health at work: a vision for sustainable prevention [Internet]. 2014 [cited 2015 Mar 3].
- [8] Seo DC, Torabi MR, Blair EH, Ellis NT.(2004): A cross validation of safety climate scale using confirmatory factor analytic approach. *J Safety Res* 35:427-45.
- [9] Demba E, Ceesay OM, Mendy GD.(2013): Prevention of work-related accidents, including high-risk sectors such as agriculture, construction and mining. *Work accidents and occupational diseases in Africa. The Gambia Country Report on ISSA Seminar*.
- [10] Adeniyi JA.(2001): Occupational health: a fundamental approach. *Haytee Org* 46.
- [11] Boyle T.(2000): Health and safety: risk management. London: IOSH.
- [12] Cooper D.(1995): Measurement of safety climate: a component analysis. Institute of Safety & Health (IOSH) meeting on 1 Feb 1995 [Internet]. [cited 2014 Mar 20].
- [13] Yule S, Flin R, Murdy A.(2007): The role of management and safety climate in preventing risk-taking at work. *Int J Risk Assess Manag* 7: 137-51.
- [14] Ford MT, Tetrick LE.(2008): Safety motivation and human resource management in North America. *Int J Hum Resour Manag* 19: 1472-85.
- [15] Mowday RT, Porter LW.(1982): Steers RM. Employee organization linkages. New York (NY): Academic Press.
- [16] Mowday R, Steers R, Porter L.(1979): The measurement of organizational commitment. *J Vocat Behav*: 224-7.
- [17] Meyer JP, Allen NJ.(1991): A three-component conceptualization of organizational commitment. *Hum Resour Manage Rev* 1: 61-89.
- [18] Meyer JP, Stanley DJ, Herscovitch L, Topolnysky L.(2002): Affective, continuance, and normative commitment to the organization: a meta-analysis of antecedents, correlates, and consequences. *J Vocat Behavior* 61: 20-52.
- [19] Cole GA.(2002): Personnel and human resource management. 5th ed. London (UK): Biddles Limited.
- [20] Sinclair RR, Tucker JS, Wright C, Cullen JC.(2005): Performance differences among four organizational commitment profiles. *J Appl Psychol* 90: 1280-7.
- [21] Grawitch MJ, Trares S, Kohler JM.(2007): Healthy workplace practices and employee outcomes. *Int J Stress Manag* 14:275-93.
- [22] Siu O.(2002): Occupational stressors and well-being among Chinese employees: the role of organisational commitment. *Appl Psychol Int Rev* 51:527-44.
- [23] Krejcie RV, Morgan DW.(1970): Determining sample size for research activities. *Educ Psychol Meas* 30:607-10.
- [24] Hayes BE, Perander J, Smecko T, Trask J.(1998): Measuring perceptions of workplace safety: development and validation of the Work Safety Scale. *J Saf Res* 29:145-61.
- [25] Meyer JP, Allen NJ.(1997): Commitment in the workplace: theory, research and application. Thousand Oaks (CA): Sage.
- [26] Zeidan S.(2006): Workers' affective commitment and their willingness to perform discretionary work behaviour: the impact of commitment-oriented human resources management practices. *J Bus Syst Gov Ethics* 1:13-23
- [27] A'yunnisa RN, Saptoto R.(2015): The effects of pay satisfaction and affective commitment on turnover intention. *Int J Res Stud Psychol* 4:57-70.
- [28] Dixit V, Bhati M.(2012): A study about employee commitment and its impact on sustained productivity in Indian auto-component industry. *Eur J Bus Soc Sci* 1:34-51.
- [29] Bansal HS, Irving PG, Taylor SF.(2004): A three-component model of customer to service providers. *J Acad Market Sci* 32:234-50.
- [30] Gruen TW, Summers JO, Acito F.(2000): Relationship marketing activities, commitment, and membership behaviors in professional associations. *J Marketing* 46:34-9.
- [31] Schalk R, van Rijckevorsel A.(2007): Factors influencing absenteeism and intention to leave in a call centre. *New Technol Work Employ* 22:260-74.